

COURT NO. 1, ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

41.

OA 2583/2022 with MA 4554/2023

Ex Sub Amba Datt Tiwari

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

... Applicant

... Respondents

For Applicant

Advocate

: Mr. Ved Prakash & Devendra Kumar,

For Respondents

: Mr. Rajeev Kumar, Advocate

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE LT GEN P. M. HARIZ, MEMBER (A)

ORDER

21.11.2023

MA 4554/2023

Counter affidavit has been filed. There being delay in filing the same, the instant application has been filed. In view of the averments made in the application, delay is condoned. Counter affidavit is taken on record.

OA 2583/2022

Invoking the jurisdiction of this Tribunal; under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, the applicant has filed this application and the reliefs claimed in para 8 read as under:

- (a) Quash the impugned Order No. JC-571613M/DP(Rejection) dated 28.02.2022.
- (b) Direct the respondents to grant disability element of pension to the applicant dly round off to 50% w.e.f. his date of discharge.

- (c) Direct respondents to pay the due arrears of disability element of Pension with interest @ 12% p.a. from the date of retirement with all the consequential benefits.
- (d) Any other relief which the Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the fact and circumstances of the case along with cost of the application in favour of the applicant and against the respondents.

2. The applicant submits that for the purpose of Primary Hypertension, the disability has been assessed @ 30% as is evident from the medical records.

3. Keeping in view the consistent stand taken by this Tribunal based on the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Dharamvir Singh v. Union of India and others (2013) 7 SCC 316 that Primary Hypertension may arise even in a peace area due to stress and strain of service, we see no reason not to allow the prayer of the applicant with regard to the disability Primary Hypertension, which has been assessed by the competent Medical Board @ 30%.

4. Accordingly, we allow this application holding that the applicant is entitled to disability element of pension @ 30% rounded off to 50% with effect from the date of his discharge. All other claims stand rejected.

5. The respondents are directed to grant disability element of pension to the applicant @ 30% for life which be rounded off to 50% for life from the

date of retirement in terms of the judicial pronouncement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Union of India Vs. Ram Avtar (Civil Appeal No. 418/2012) decided on 10.12.2014. However, the arrears will be restricted to three years from the date of filing of this OA or the date of applicant's retirement/discharge, whichever is lesser, in keeping with the law laid down in the case of Union of India and others Vs. Tarsem Singh [2008 (8)SCC 649].

6. Accordingly, the respondents are directed to calculate, sanction and issue necessary PPO to the applicant within four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order, failing which, the applicant shall be entitled to interest @ 6% per annum till the date of payment.

7. Pending MAs, if any, stand closed. There is no order as to costs.

[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]
CHAIRPERSON

[LT. GEN. P.M. HARIZ]
MEMBER(A)

/sm/